Assessing New-born Vitality: The Apgar Score's Impact on Health Outcomes

Baran Odhiambi[*]

Department of Nursing, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

DESCRIPTION

The Apgar score is a standardized method used to assess the health of newborns immediately after birth. Developed by Dr. Virginia Apgar in 1952, this scoring system has become an essential tool in neonatology, providing a quick and effective way to evaluate a newborn's physical condition and determine whether immediate medical intervention is required. This article discusses about the components, significance and limitations of the Apgar score, emphasizing its role in ensuring the well-being of newborns [1].

Components of the apgar score

The Apgar score consists of five criteria, each of which is assigned a score between 0 and 2, resulting in a total score ranging from 0 to 10. The five components assessed are:

Appearance: A score of 0 is given for blue or pale skin, 1 for body pink but blue extremities and 2 for completely pink skin.

Pulse: A score of 0 indicates no heartbeat, 1 for a heart rate below 100 beats per minute and 2 for a heart rate above 100 beats per minute [2].

Grimace response: A score of 0 is assigned if there is no response, 1 for a grimace or weak cry when stimulated and 2 for a vigorous cry or active withdrawal in response to stimulation.

Activity: A score of 0 is for limpness, 1 for some flexion of extremities and 2 for active movement.

Respiration: A score of 0 indicates no breathing, 1 for weak or irregular breathing and 2 for a strong cry.

These assessments are typically performed at one minute and five minutes after birth, with a higher score indicating a better overall condition [3].

Importance of the apgar score

The Apgar score serves several critical functions in newborn care. Primarily, it allows healthcare providers to quickly assess a newborn's immediate need for medical assistance. A score of 7 or higher is generally considered normal, while a score below 7 may indicate the need for further evaluation and possible intervention [4].

This tool is particularly valuable in identifying infants who may be at risk for complications. For instance, a low Apgar score can signal issues such as respiratory distress, neurological impairment, or the effects of maternal medications. By facilitating early detection, the Apgar score helps to initiate timely interventions that can significantly improve health outcomes [5].

Limitations of the apgar score

While the Apgar score is a useful initial assessment tool, it is important to recognize its limitations. The score primarily evaluates a newborn's immediate condition and does not predict long-term health outcomes. For example, a baby with a low Apgar score may still develop normally, while one with a high score may experience later complications. Thus, the Apgar score should be considered as part of a broader clinical evaluation [6].

Additionally, certain factors can influence the score. For example, premature infants may naturally score lower due to underdeveloped systems, while newborns who receive immediate resuscitation may present with altered scores. Healthcare providers must interpret the Apgar score within the context of each individual case, considering other clinical indicators and the overall health of both the mother and infant [7,8].

Future of newborn assessment

The Apgar score remains a basis in neonatal care, but advancements in technology and medicine continue to enhance newborn assessments. Innovations such as advanced monitoring systems and predictive analytics may provide more comprehensive evaluations, allowing healthcare providers to tailor interventions more effectively. Nonetheless, the Apgar score's simplicity and use guarantee its continued applicability in clinical practice. Balancing traditional methods like the Apgar score with emerging technologies will optimize newborn care and improve outcomes while maintaining the foundational principles of neonatal assessment [9,10].

CONCLUSION

An essential tool for evaluating a newborn's health in the critical minutes following delivery is the Apgar score. By evaluating key indicators such as appearance, pulse, grimace response, activity and respiration, healthcare providers can make informed decisions regarding the care of newborns. While the Apgar score has its limitations, it remains an essential component of neonatal assessments, contributing to the ongoing goal of improving infant health outcomes. As medical practices evolve, the Apgar score will likely continue to play a key role in the early identification of newborn health needs, ensuring that infants receive the care they require right from the start.

REFERENCES

1. Ong M, Palompon DR, Banico L. Predictors of nurses' licensure examination performance of graduates in Cebu Normal University, Philippines. Asian J Health. 2012;2(1):130-143.

2. Langford R, Young A. Predicting NCLEX-RN success with the HESI exit exam: Eighth validity study. J Professional Nurs. 2013;29(2):S5-S9.

3. Truman JM. Identifying predictors of National Council Licensure Examination for Registered Nurses (NCLEX-RN) success in an associate degree nursing program. Int J Applied Sci Technol. 2012;2(7).:37-45.

4. Amo-Antwi K, Agambire R, Konney TO, Nguah SB, Dassah ET, Nartey Y, et al. Health-related quality of life among cervical cancer survivors at a tertiary hospital in Ghana. PLoS One. 2022;17(6): e0268831.

5. Aiken LH, Clarke SP, Sloane DM, Sochalski J, Silber JH. Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. JAMA. 2002;288(16):1987-1993.

6. Nartey Y, Hill PC, Amo-Antwi K, Nyarko KM, Yarney J, Cox B, et al. Factors contributing to the low survival among women with a diagnosis of invasive cervical cancer in Ghana. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2017;27(9):1926-1934.

7. Parhar KK, Zuege DJ, Shariff K, Knight G, Bagshaw SM. Prone positioning for ARDS patients-tips for preparation and use during the COVID-19 pandemic. Can J Anaesth. 2021;68(4):541-545.

8. Rochelson B, Nimaroff M, Combs A, Schwartz B, Meirowitz N, Vohra N, et al. The care of pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic–response of a large health system in metropolitan New York. J Perinat Med. 2020;48(5):453-461.

9. Lopez GA. Temperature management in the neurointensive care unit. Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2016;18(3):1-9.

10. Campinha-Bacote J. The process of cultural competence in the delivery of healthcare services: A model of care. J Transcult Nurs. 2002;13(3):181-184.