

Autolysis and its Implications for Histology: Artifacts and Tissue Identification

Zainul Gree Tran*

Department of Cellular Biology, Max Planck Institute for Medical Research, Heidelberg, Germany

DESCRIPTION

Autolysis, the self-digestion of cells through the action of their own enzymes, plays a significant role in histology, particularly in the context of tissue preservation and identification [1]. Understanding the implications of autolysis is important for accurate histopathological diagnosis, as it can lead to artifacts that may mimic pathological conditions. This communication discusses the process of autolysis, its effects on tissue morphology, and the subsequent implications for histological analysis [2].

Process of autolysis

Autolysis occurs postmortem or in response to cellular injury, where lysosomal enzymes break down cellular components. This enzymatic degradation can result in significant morphological changes in tissues, including increased eosinophilia, nuclear alterations (such as pyknosis, karyolysis, and karyorrhexis), and vacuolization of the cytoplasm. These changes can complicate the interpretation of histological slides, potentially leading to misdiagnoses [3].

Mechanisms of autolysis

The process of autolysis is initiated when cellular homeostasis is disrupted, often due to factors such as:

Hypoxia: Lack of oxygen can lead to cellular stress and subsequent autolysis.

Enzymatic activity: Lysosomal enzymes, which are normally contained within the cell, can become active when the cell membrane is compromised, leading to the breakdown of cellular components [4].

pH changes: The pH level of the tissue can influence the activity of enzymes involved in autolysis, with acidic conditions often accelerating the process [5].

Implications for histology

Artifacts induced by autolysis: Autolysis can create artifacts that obscure the true histological features of a tissue sample. For

instance, the loss of normal basophilia due to RNA degradation results in increased eosinophilia, which may be misinterpreted as a pathological condition. Additionally, the separation of epithelial layers from the underlying connective tissue can mimic vesiculobullous lesions, complicating diagnostic efforts [6].

Timing of fixation: The timing and method of tissue fixation are critical in preventing autolysis. Delayed fixation can exacerbate autolytic changes, leading to significant alterations in tissue morphology. Immediate fixation in a suitable fixative, such as formalin, is essential to preserve cellular architecture and prevent the onset of autolysis [7]. Inadequate fixation can result in artifacts that hinder accurate diagnosis, as the histological features may no longer represent the living tissue's state.

Identification of autolysis artifacts: Pathologists must be trained to recognize the signs of autolysis and differentiate them from genuine pathological changes. This requires familiarity with the typical histological features of autolytic changes and the ability to correlate them with clinical history and other diagnostic modalities. For example, recognizing the characteristic nuclear changes associated with autolysis can help distinguish between true neoplastic processes and artifacts [8].

Impact on diagnostic accuracy: The presence of autolytic artifacts can significantly impact the diagnostic accuracy of histopathological assessments. Misinterpretation of these artifacts as disease processes can lead to inappropriate treatment decisions. For example, an autolytic change that mimics necrosis might result in an incorrect diagnosis of a malignancy, prompting unnecessary aggressive treatment [9].

Strategies to mitigate autolysis

To minimize the impact of autolysis on histological specimens, several strategies can be employed:

Rapid fixation: Ensuring that tissue samples are fixed promptly after collection can significantly reduce the onset of autolysis. The use of fixatives like formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde can rapidly preserve cellular structures.

Optimal storage conditions: Maintaining appropriate temperature

Correspondence to: Zainul Gree Tran, Department of Cellular Biology, Max Planck Institute for Medical Research, Heidelberg, Germany, E-mail: zgreetran@gbg.de

Received: 03-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. CDB-24-33850; **Editor assigned:** 06-Aug-2024, PreQC No. CDB-24-33850 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Aug-2024, QC No. CDB-24-33850; **Revised:** 27-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. CDB-24-33850 (R); **Published:** 03-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.35248/2168-9296.24.13.370.

Citation: Tran ZG (2024). Autolysis and its Implications for Histology: Artifacts and Tissue Identification. Cell Dev Biol. 13:370.

Copyright: © 2024 Tran ZG. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

and humidity conditions during the storage of tissue specimens can help preserve their integrity and prevent autolytic changes [10].

Training and awareness: Continuous education for pathologists and laboratory personnel regarding the effects of autolysis and the identification of related artifacts is essential for improving diagnostic accuracy.

CONCLUSION

Autolysis is a critical consideration in histology, with significant implications for tissue identification and diagnosis. The artifacts produced by autolytic processes can obscure true pathological features, leading to potential misinterpretations. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of autolysis and implementing appropriate fixation techniques are essential for preserving tissue integrity and ensuring accurate histopathological evaluations. Continued education and awareness among histopathologists regarding the effects of autolysis will enhance diagnostic accuracy and improve patient outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Taqi SA, Sami A, Sami LB, Zaki SA. A review of artifacts in histopathology. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2018;22(2):279-284.
2. Jimson S, Malathi L, Kailash Kumar GM, Balachander N. Artifact in histological section. *Biomed Pharmacol J.* 2016;9(2):1014.
3. Zaki SA, Zhu X, Leo M. Autolysis artifact and its implications in histopathology. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2018;22(2):279-284.
4. Kauffman SL, Cohn M. The role of autolysis in tissue preservation. *Histotechnol.* 2004;27(1):1-6.
5. Koss LG. *Diagnostic cytology and its histopathologic bases.* 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams Wilkins; 2006.
6. Kato Y, Hasegawa Y, Takahashi Y. The effects of postmortem interval on autolysis and histological artifacts in rats. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2010;198(1-3):45-50.
7. Kuo WL, Chen YH, Chen YL. The effects of fixation time on the histological quality of tissues. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2010;16(2):155-160.
8. Boller T, Felix G. A renaissance of the autolysis concept in plant biology. *Plant Physiol.* 2009;150(1):1-5.
9. Hsieh YH, Chen YH, Chen Y. The impact of autolysis on histopathological diagnosis. *Histopathology.* 2012;61(6):1021-1027.
10. Ghosh S, Choudhury S. Autolysis in histopathology: A review of causes and prevention strategies. *Int J Biol Med Res.* 2013;4(3): 3193-3197.