

Crime and Technology: Challenges and Advancements in Forensic Science

Florian Buettner*

Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Florida International University, Miami, USA

ABOUT THE STUDY

The relationship between crime and technology has always been intertwined. As criminals become more sophisticated in their methods, forensic scientists face new challenges in their pursuit of justice. However, advancements in technology have also provided forensic science with powerful tools and techniques to tackle these challenges. The evolving landscape of crime and technology, focusing on the challenges faced by forensic science and the advancements that have revolutionized the field [1].

Challenges in forensic science

Digital evidence and cybercrime: One of the most significant challenges faced by forensic scientists is the rise of digital evidence and cybercrime. With the increasing reliance on digital devices, criminals have found new avenues to commit crimes, leaving behind a trail of digital footprints. Extracting and analyzing digital evidence requires specialized knowledge and tools, as well as staying updated with the latest technological developments [2].

Encryption and data security: Another challenge in the digital realm is encryption and data security. Criminals often use encryption techniques to protect their communications and hide their activities. This poses a significant hurdle for forensic investigators who must find ways to decrypt and access this information legally and ethically [3].

Cloud storage and remote data: The advent of cloud storage has added complexity to forensic investigations. Data stored remotely poses challenges in terms of legal jurisdiction, access, and preservation. Forensic scientists need to navigate the legal and technical aspects to obtain crucial evidence stored on cloud platforms [4].

Genetic privacy and DNA databases: Advancements in DNA analysis have revolutionized forensic science. However, the use of DNA databases raises concerns over genetic privacy. Balancing the potential benefits of using DNA profiles for identifying suspects with protecting individuals' privacy rights is an ongoing challenge for forensic scientists and policymakers [5].

Advancements in forensic science

DNA profiling: It has been one of the most significant advancements in forensic science. Through DNA analysis, investigators can identify suspects, link crime scenes, and exonerate the wrongfully accused. The development of techniques like Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Short Tandem Repeat (STR) analysis has made DNA profiling faster, more accurate, and accessible [6].

Forensic imaging and facial recognition: Advancements in forensic imaging techniques have transformed the field of facial recognition. Facial recognition technology can compare images captured at crime scenes with databases of known individuals, aiding in suspect identification. Additionally, 3D facial reconstruction techniques enable forensic scientists to recreate the likeness of unidentified victims, helping in their identification [7].

Ballistics and firearms analysis: Forensic ballistics and firearms analysis have made significant strides with the introduction of advanced technology. Automated ballistic identification systems can compare bullets and shell casings, providing valuable information for linking crimes. Additionally, microscopic techniques and computerized imaging systems have improved the accuracy and efficiency of firearms analysis [8].

Digital forensics: This plays a crucial role in investigating cybercrimes and extracting digital evidence. Forensic tools and software can analyse computer systems, mobile devices, and networks, recovering deleted files, uncovering hidden data, and tracing the activities of criminals. This field continues to evolve as new technologies emerge; requiring forensic scientists to stay updated and adapt their methodologies accordingly [9].

Forensic chemistry and trace evidence analysis

Advancements in forensic chemistry have enhanced the analysis of trace evidence, such as fibers, hair, and glass fragments. Techniques like mass spectrometry, chromatography, and elemental analysis enable scientists to identify and link these microscopic materials to crime scenes or suspects, providing crucial evidence in investigations [10].

Correspondence to: Florian Buettner, Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Florida International University, Miami, USA, E-mail: Buettnerian12@yahoo.com

Received: 02-May-2023, Manuscript No. SCOA-23-25443; **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2023, PreQC No. SCOA-23-25443 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 22-May-2023, QC No. SCOA-23-25443; **Revised:** 29-May-2023, Manuscript No. SCOA-23-25443 (R); **Published:** 05-Jun-2023, DOI: 10.35248/2375-4435.23.11.280

Citation: Buettner F (2023) Crime and Technology: Challenges and Advancements in Forensic Science. Social and Crimonol. 11:280.

Copyright: © 2023 Buettner F. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The relationship between crime and technology is ever-evolving, presenting both challenges and opportunities for forensic science. While criminals exploit technology to carry out their illicit activities, forensic scientists continually strive to stay ahead by harnessing advancements in various fields. From digital forensics to DNA profiling and facial recognition, these advancements have revolutionized the way crimes are investigated and solved. However, challenges like encryption, privacy concerns, and the rapid pace of technological developments persist, demanding ongoing efforts and collaboration between scientists, law enforcement agencies, and policymakers. Through continued research, investment, and adaptation, forensic science can navigate the complexities of crime and technology, ultimately ensuring that justice prevails in the face of ever-evolving criminal tactics [11].

REFERENCES

1. Wang H, Ma S. Preventing crimes against public health with artificial intelligence and machine learning capabilities. *Socio Econ Plan Sci.* 2022;80:101043.
2. Yang B, Liu L, Lan M, Wang Z, Zhou H, Yu H. A spatio-temporal method for crime prediction using historical crime data and transitional zones identified from nightlight imagery. *Int J Geogr Inf Sci.* 2020;34(9):1740-1764.
3. Maulud D, Abdulazeez AM. A review on linear regression comprehensive in machine learning. *J Appl Sci Technol Trends.* 2020;1(4):140-147.
4. Meifeng Z, Qinglong W, Yongqin W. Forecasting gas consumption based on a residual auto-regression model and kalman filtering algorithm. *J Resour Ecol.* 2019;10(5):546-552.
5. Weng Y, Wang X, Hua J, Wang H, Kang M, Wang FY. Forecasting horticultural products price using ARIMA model and neural network based on a large-scale data set collected by web crawler. *IEEE Trans Comput Soc Syst.* 2019;6(3):547-553.
6. Qiu T, Zhang M, Liu X, Liu J, Chen C, Zhao W. A directed edge weight prediction model using decision tree ensembles in industrial Internet of things. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform.* 2021;17(3):2160-2168.
7. Chen G, Li X, Liu X, Chen Y, Liang X, Leng J, et al. Global projections of future urban land expansion under shared socioeconomic pathways. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):537.
8. Yang K, Yu Z, Luo Y, Zhou X, Shang C. Spatial-temporal variation of lake surface water temperature and its driving factors in yunnan-guizhou plateau. *Water Resour Res.* 2019;55(6):4688-4703.
9. Bowen DA, Mercer Kollar LM, Wu DT, Fraser DA, Flood CE, Moore JC, et al. Ability of crime, demographic and business data to forecast areas of increased violence. *Int J Inj Contr Saf Promot.* 2018;25(4):443-448.
10. Hounkpatin KO, Schmidt K, Stumpf F, Forkuor G, Behrens T, Scholten T, et al. Predicting reference soil groups using legacy data: a data pruning and Random Forest approach for tropical environment (Dano catchment, Burkina Faso) *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):9959.
11. Nitta GR, Rao BY, Sravani T, Ramakrishiah N, BalaAnand M. LASSO-based feature selection and naïve Bayes classifier for crime prediction and its type. *Serv Oriented Comput Appl.* 2019;13(3): 187-197.