

Impact on Public Health and Processing Practices of Enterobacteriaceae in Broiler Chickens

Zaijun Li*

Department of Food Microbiology, Rajarshi Janak University, Janakpur, Nepal

DESCRIPTION

In the field of poultry processing, the presence and impact of Enterobacteriaceae have significant implications for food safety and public health. Broiler chickens, a staple in many diets globally, undergo rigorous processing stages from farm to table. Throughout this journey, the risk of contamination by Enterobacteriaceae species such as *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Campylobacter* must be meticulously managed to ensure the safety and quality of poultry products. This article delves into the scientific aspects of Enterobacteriaceae in broiler processing, exploring their sources, prevalence, survival mechanisms, and mitigation strategies.

Enterobacteriaceae are a diverse family of gram-negative bacteria found ubiquitously in various environments, including soil, water, and the gastrointestinal tracts of animals and humans. In broiler chickens, these bacteria can colonize the intestinal tract asymptotically, posing a challenge during processing. The prevalence of Enterobacteriaceae in broiler flocks varies depending on factors such as farm hygiene, feed quality, and biosecurity measures. Transmission can occur through contaminated feed, water, equipment, or contact with infected birds.

Processing stages and Enterobacteriaceae contamination

Broiler processing involves multiple stages where the risk of Enterobacteriaceae contamination must be managed effectively [1].

Slaughter and evisceration: Initial stages involve slaughtering and eviscerating birds, which can introduce bacteria from the bird's gut onto the carcass surface.

Chilling and washing: Chilling and washing are critical for reducing bacterial load [2]. However, improper water quality or inadequate sanitation can lead to cross-contamination.

Packaging and distribution: Even after processing, handling and packaging procedures must prevent recontamination, ensuring the product remains safe until consumption.

Survival mechanisms of Enterobacteriaceae

Biofilm formation: Bacteria can form biofilms on surfaces, protecting them from sanitizers and physical removal [3].

Resistance to sanitizers: Some strains exhibit resistance to common sanitizing agents, requiring robust cleaning protocols.

Adaptation to environmental stress: *Enterobacteriaceae* can adapt to varying pH, temperature, and moisture conditions, surviving in harsh environments encountered during processing [4].

Health implications and regulatory standards: Contamination of poultry products with Enterobacteriaceae, particularly pathogens like *Salmonella* and *E. coli*, can lead to severe health consequences if consumed. Infections range from mild gastroenteritis to severe systemic illnesses, posing a significant public health concern [5]. Regulatory bodies such as the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) in the United States and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in Europe enforce strict standards and monitoring programs to mitigate these risks [6]. These include testing protocols, process controls, and surveillance systems aimed at reducing bacterial counts on poultry products.

Mitigation strategies

Effective management of Enterobacteriaceae in broiler processing requires a multifaceted approach.

Pre-harvest interventions: Improving farm biosecurity, implementing vaccination programs, and optimizing feed quality to reduce bacterial colonization in broiler flocks [7].

Processing interventions: Enhancing sanitation protocols, using antimicrobial interventions such as organic acids or chlorine washes, and ensuring proper chilling and packaging conditions.

Post-processing monitoring: Regular testing of poultry products for bacterial contamination through sampling and analysis, coupled with rapid detection methods like Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) or ELISA [8].

Correspondence to: Zaijun Li, Department of Food Microbiology, Rajarshi Janak University, Janakpur, Nepal, E-mail: ji.zai@33.ni

Received: 19-Jul-2024, Manuscript No. JFMSH-24-32534; **Editor assigned:** 23-Jul-2024, PreQC No. JFMSH-24-32534 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 06-Aug-2024, QC No. JFMSH-24-32534; **Revised:** 13-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. JFMSH-24-32534 (R); **Published:** 20-Aug-2024, DOI: 10.35841/2476-2059.24.9.305.

Citation: Li Z (2024). Impact on Public Health and Processing Practices of Enterobacteriaceae in Broiler Chickens. J Food Microbial Saf Hyg.9.305.

Copyright: © 2024 Li Z. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Education and training: Providing comprehensive training to personnel involved in processing to ensure adherence to hygiene practices and regulatory standards.

Technological advancements and future directions: Advancements in technology, such as Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) for bacterial strain identification and antimicrobial alternatives, offer promising avenues for improving food safety in poultry processing. Research continues to focus on understanding bacterial ecology, developing novel interventions, and enhancing surveillance systems to stay ahead of emerging risks [9].

CONCLUSION

Enterobacteriaceae pose significant challenges in broiler processing due to their prevalence, survival mechanisms, and potential health implications. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort across the poultry industry, regulatory bodies, and scientific community. By implementing rigorous hygiene practices, leveraging technological advancements, and adhering to stringent regulatory standards, stakeholders can mitigate the risks associated with *Enterobacteriaceae* contamination and ensure the safety and quality of poultry products for consumers worldwide. In conclusion, while the presence of *Enterobacteriaceae* in broiler processing is a complex issue, scientific understanding and proactive measures can effectively minimize its impact on food safety and public health.

REFERENCES

1. Dierikx CM, Van Der Goot JA, Smith HE, Kant A, Mevius DJ. Presence of ESBL/AmpC-producing *Escherichia coli* in the broiler production pyramid: A descriptive study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(11):e79005.
2. Luyckx KY, van Weyenberg S, Dewulf J, Herman L, Zoons J, Vervaeke E, et al. On-farm comparisons of different cleaning protocols in broiler houses. *Poult Sci*. 2015;94(8):1986-1993.
3. Kotula KL, Pandya Y. Bacterial contamination of broiler chickens before scalding. *J Food Prot*. 1995;58(12):1326-1329.
4. Dame-Korevaar A, Fischer EA, Stegeman A, Mevius D, van Essen-Zandbergen A, Velkers F, et al. Dynamics of CMY-2 producing *Escherichia coli* in a broiler parent flock. *Vet Microbiol*. 2017;203:211-214.
5. Barbut S, Moza LF, Nattress F, Dilts B, Gill CO. The microbiological conditions of air-or water-chilled carcasses produced at the same poultry packing plant. *J Appl Poult Res*. 2009;18(3): 501-507.
6. Berrang ME, Windham WR, Meinersmann RJ. *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella*, and *Escherichia coli* on broiler carcasses subjected to a high pH scald and low pH postpick chlorine dip. *Poultry science*. 2011 Apr 1;90(4):896-900.
7. Huang TM, Lin TL, Wu CC. Antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance of chicken *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp, and *Pasteurella multocida* isolates. *Avian Dis*. 2009;53(1):89-93.
8. Ogu GI, Madar IH, Igborgbor JC, Okolo JC. Mycological quality of fresh and frozen chicken meat retailed within Warri Metropolis, Delta State, Nigeria. *Jordan J Biol Sci*. 2017;10(4).
9. Omorodion NJ, Odu NN. Microbiological quality of meats sold in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria. *Nat Sci*. 2014;12(2):58-62.