

Research Article

The Efficacy of Shenyankangfu Tablets Combined With Losartan Potassium for Chronic Glomerulonephritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Yang Yang¹, Jiahui Jin², Yaxin Gao³, Dandan Zhu⁴, Heting Zhang¹, Yuqing Xue⁴, Hongwu Wang⁴, Tieniu Zhao^{4*}

¹Department of Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China; ²Physical Education Institute, Jimei University, Fujian, China; ³Department of Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China; ⁴Department of Medicine, Tianjin, University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China; ⁴Department of Medicine, Tianjin, China; ⁴Department of

ABSTRACT

Objective: To conduct a systematic review of the efficacy and safety of Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis.

Method: Through searching CNKI, VIP, Wanfang, Chinese Biomedical Literature Service System, American Clinical Trial Registry, Embase, Web of Science, PubMed, and Cochrane Library databases. The retrieval time was from the establishment of the database to June 1, 2023. All the Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTS) of Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of renal chronic glomerulonephritis were collected, and the data of clinical studies meeting the inclusion criteria was extracted. Meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.4 software. The study was registered with PROSPERO, CRD42024499186.

Results: A total of 480 relevant literature was retrieved, and 25 eligible randomized controlled trials were selected, for a total of 2050 participants, including 1025 in the observation group and 1025 in the control group. The results of Meta-Analysis showed: Compared with losartan potassium alone in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis, Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium can improve the clinical effective rate [RR=1.26, 95% CI (1.20, 1.31), P<0.001].The reductions of blood urea nitrogen [MD=-0.78, 95% CI (-1.03, -0.53), P<0.001], blood creatinine [MD=-5.06, 95% CI (-8.01, -2.12), P<0.001], 24-hour urine protein quantification [MD=-0.51, 95% CI (-0.63, -0.40), P<0.001], urinary NAG enzyme [MD=-6.77, 95% CI (-12.03,-1.51), P<0.001] and the incidence of adverse reactions [RR=0.59, 95% CI (0.36, 0.95), P<0.001] were better than losartan potassium alone, and the difference was statistically significant.

Conclusion: Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium can improve the clinical treatment efficiency of chronic glomerulonephritis, and can effectively reduce the occurrence of blood urea nitrogen, blood creatinine, 24-hour urine protein quantification, urinary NAG enzyme, and adverse reactions.

Keywords: Shenyankangfu tablets; Losartan potassium; Chronic glomerulonephritis; Meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Glomerulonephritis (CGN), characterized by clinical manifestations of proteinuria, hematuria, edema, and other symptoms, is common in young and middle-aged males [1], characterized by insipidity of onset, prolonged and difficult to cure, and progressive aggravation, often accompanied by renal function decline. This progresses to chronic kidney failure and eventually uremia [2]. At present, RAS blockers are mainly used for the clinical treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis, and losartan potassium tablets are commonly used as representative drugs of RAS blockers, which can effectively prevent angiotensin receptors from mediating physiological reactions, and reduce proteinuria and the filtration rate of protein in the glomerulus, thereby improving renal function and delaying the development of chronic glomerulonephritis [3]. However, studies have shown that the overall efficacy of losartan potassium tablets alone in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis is not ideal [4].

Shenyankangfu tablets are a pure Chinese medicine compound,

Correspondence to: Tieniu Zhao, Department of Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China; E-mail: zhaotieniu @163.com

Received: 16-Apr-2024, Manuscript No. JCT-24-30805; **Editor assigned:** 19-Apr-2024, Pre QC No. JCT-24-30805 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 03-May-2024, QC No. JCT-24-30805; **Revised:** 10-May-2024, Manuscript No. JCT-24-30805 (R); **Published:** 17-May-2024, DOI: 10.35841/2161-1017.24.14.566.

Citation: Yang Y, Jin J, Gao Y, Zhu D, Zhang H, Xue Y, et al. (2024) The Efficacy of Shenyankangfu Tablets Combined With Losartan Potassium for Chronic Glomerulonephritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Toxicol. 14:566.

Copyright: © 2024 Yang Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

which consists of 13 traditional Chinese medicines, such as Rehmannia, Eucommia, yam, Salvia miltiorrhiza, Alisma, motherwort, American ginseng, ginseng, and Platycodon, etc. It has the effects of invigorating Qi and nourishing Yin, strengthening the spleen and kidney, and clearing residual toxicity [5-6]. Modern pharmacological experiments have proved that Shenyankangfu tablets have the effect of inhibiting inflammatory response, and has significant efficacy in reducing proteinuria and improving renal function [7]. At present, studies have shown that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis can significantly reduce proteinuria content and serum creatinine level, but there is a lack of systematic studies. Therefore, meta-analysis was used in this study to systematically evaluate the efficacy and safety of Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis, providing medical evidence for clinical application.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Inclusion criteria

Study type Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT) in Chinese or English.

Research object: The included subjects were all clinically diagnosed patients with chronic glomerulonephritis, regardless of race, region, age, gender, disease course, etc.

Intervention measures: The control group was given losartan potassium tablets and the observation group was combined with

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

nephritis rehabilitation tablets based on the control group (the dose and course of treatment were not limited). Outcome indicators\ efficacy indicators: Effective rate, Serum creatinine (Scr), 24-hour urinary protein quantity, urea nitrogen; Safety index: Adverse reactions.

Document exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria: 1. Inconsistent with the research direction; 2. Literature with repeated publication or data duplication; 3. Non-RCTS research; 4. Literature with incomplete data of indicators could not be obtained; 5. Literature with incorrect outcome indicators.

Literature search strategy

Based on the Cochrane Handbook criteria and Preferred Reporting Items for System Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA statement), our meta-analysis was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42024499186). A computer search was conducted on 5 English databases including PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Embase, ClinicalTrials.gov, and China National Knowledge Network (CNKI), VIP Journal Database, Wanfang Journal Papers Database (Wanfang), China Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), which were searched until June 1, 2023. The Chinese search terms were: "Nephritis rehabilitation tablet", "losartan potassium tablet", "chronic glomerulonephritis", "chronic nephritis", etc. The English search terms were: "Glomerulonephritis", "glomerulonephritis's", "kidney scarring", "losartan potassium", "randomized controlled trial", "RCT", etc. Taking PubMed as an example, the English search strategy was shown in Table 1.

 Table 1: PubMed English search.

#1 Search	"Glomerulonephritis"[Mesh]						
#2 Search	(((Glomerulonephritis's[Title/Abstract]) OR (Kidney Scarring[Title/Abstract])) OR ((Scarring, Kidney[Title/ Abstract]))) OR (Bright Disease[Title/Abstract])						
#3 Search	"Losartan potassium"[Mesh]						
#4 Search	((((((((((((2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-((2'(1H-etrazol-5-yl) (1,1'-biphenyl)-4-yl)methyl)-1H-imidazole-5-methanol[Title/Abstract]) OR (DuP-753[Title/Abstract])) OR (DuP 753[Title/Abstract])) OR (DuP753[Title/Abstract])) OR (MK-954[Title/ Abstract])) OR (MK 954[Title/Abstract])) OR (MK954[Title/Abstract])) OR (Cozaar[Title/Abstract])) OR (Losartan potassium Potassium[Title/Abstract])) OR (Potassium, Losartan potassium[Title/Abstract])) OR (Losartan potassium Monopotassium Salt[Title/Abstract])) OR (Monopotassium Salt, Losartan potassium[Title/Abstract])) OR (Salt, Losartan potassium Monopotassium Monopotassium Monopotassium Monopotassium Monopotassium Monopotassium[Title/Abstract])) OR (Salt,						
#5 Search	(randomized controlled trial[Publication Type] OR randomized[Title/Abstract] OR placebo[Title/Abstract])						
#6 Search	#1 OR #2						
#7 Search	#3 OR #4						
#8 Search	#5 AND #6 AND #7						

Literature screening and data extraction

The researchers independently screened the literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, used Note Express software to manage the literature, and excluded the literature that did not meet the requirements according to the title, abstract, and content of the literature, and two entry clerks used Excel to extract and organize the relevant data. Data extraction included: 1. Basic information of the included studies: First author, publication year, etc.; 2. Baseline characteristics of the subjects; 3. Intervention measures, treatment courses, etc.; 4. Outcome indicators, etc.

Quality evaluation criteria

The two researchers evaluated the quality of the included literature according to the Cochrane manual of systematic review and assessed the risk of bias in the input articles to determine:

- Whether random sequences were sufficient
- Whether it is hidden by random allocation
- Double-blind participants and implementers
- Blind method is applied to the result evaluation
- Whether the data results are complete
- Selective reporting

• Other biases. According to the above criteria, the methodological quality included in the research is divided into three levels: "High risk", "low risk" and "unclear risk".

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.4 software. The Hazard Ratio (HR) was used as the effect size for the bitaxonomic variables, and the Mean Difference (MD) or Standardized Mean

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

Difference (SMD) was used for the continuous variables, and the effect size and its 95% confidence interval were calculated. The test was used to test the heterogeneity of the included studies. If P>0.05 and I² \leq 50%, the heterogeneity was considered to be small, and the fixed-effect model was selected for analysis. If P \leq 0.05 and I²>50%, it was considered that there was a large heterogeneity, and the random effects model was selected for analysis.

RESULTS

Literature retrieval process

A total of 480 relevant literature was retrieved. Note Express software was used to eliminate the duplicate pieces of literature and the remaining 423 literature, and the remaining 346 pieces of literature including meta, systematic review, and animal tests were excluded. After reading the title and abstract, 35 works of literature were remained, 10 literatures were eliminated after reading the full text, and 25 literature meeting the criteria was finally included [8-32]. The literature screening process was shown in Figure 1, 2.2 basic features of included studies.

Finally, 25 RCTs were included, with a total of 2050 subjects, including 1025 subjects in the observation group and 1025 subjects in the control group. The included studies indicated that baseline information such as age and sex of patients was comparable; Twenty-two studies reported the effective rate of drug treatment [8-20,22-28,30-31], 18 studies reported blood urea nitrogen [8-13,15-17,19-20,22,24-28,30-31], and 21 studies reported blood creatinine [8-10,12-13,15-20,22,24-32]. Twenty-two studies reported 24 h urinary protein quantification [8-10,12-23,25-30,32-33], six studies reported urinary NAG enzyme [9,12,20,26,28,31], and seven studies reported the occurrence of adverse reactions [8,10-12,26,31]. The basic characteristics of the included pieces of literature are shown in Table 2.



 Table 2: Basic characteristics of the literatures included in the study.

Study	Number(n)	/Age(years)	Treat	ments	T	
(years)	Study group	Control group	Study group	Control group	Treatment course	Outcome index
Zhou [8]	30/44.22 ± 5.32	30/43.85 ± 5.22	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	12 weeks	12346
Liu [9]	30/44.15 ± 5.37	30/45.04 ± 5.45	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	12345
Ru [10]	45/47.58 ± 11.42	45/48.49 ± 11.69	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	8 weeks	12346
Guo [11]	43/35.01 ± 3.12	43/34.67 ± 2.35	SYKF tablet (3.84 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet(50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	126
Chen, et al. [12]	40/41.35 ± 3.65	40/41.50 ± 3.40	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	123456
Yang [13]	30/53.68 ± 2.51	30/53.70 ± 2.47	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	48 days	1234
Luy [14]	44/50.5 ± 5.3	44/51.0 ± 5.5	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	1)4)
Wan, et al. [15]	50/54.88 ± 2.21	50/54.21 ± 2.67	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet(50mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	12 weeks	(1)(2)(3)(4)
Zhou, et al. [16]	23/62.48 ± 3.62	23/62.51 ± 3.75	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	1234
Dong [17]	15/32.11 ± 2.51	15/31.89 ± 2.72	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (20 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (20 mg, qd)	1 month	1234
Li, et al. [18]	50/48.11 ± 2.22	50/48.25 ±2.41	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	134
Su [19]	60/34.3 ± 3.2	60/35.6 ±4.1	SYKF tablet (0.6 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	1234

Zhu [20]	49/54.63 ± 2.72	49/53.25 ± 2.38	SYKF tablet (0.05 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	12345
Guo [21]	63/45.31 ± 8.24	63/46.54 ± 9.51	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	4
Qiu [22]	30/52 ± 9.39	30/52 ± 8.95	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	1234
Su [23]	48/43.6 ± 8.6	48/43.5 ± 8.5	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	16
Yan [24]	30/52 ± 3	30/52 ± 3	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	1234
Li [25]	34/35.01 ± 4.56	34/34.78 ± 5.30	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	1234
Qiu [26]	60/42.9+2.7	60/43.4+3.4	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	2 months	123456
Sun [27]	48/52.6 ± 3.8	48/51.7 ± 3.3	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid) Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	1234
Zhao, et al. [28]	49/42 ± 6	49/42 ± 5	SYKF tablet (2.4 g, tid)+Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	12345
Wu, et al. [29]	39/45.4 ± 2.3	39/46.4 ± 2.5	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid) + Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	12 weeks	34
Wu, et al. [30]	50/54.4 ± 3.2	50/55.4 ± 3.3	SYKF tablet (0.6g, tid) + Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	23
Zheng, et al. [31]	41/35.8 ± 4.0	41/36.4 ± 3.9	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid) + Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	3 months	123456
Guo, et al. [32]	24/-	24/-	SYKF tablet (2.4g, tid) + Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	Losartan potassium tablet (50 mg, qd)	6 months	134

Note: SYKF tablet: Shenyankangfu tablet; —: Not mentioned; ① Effective rate of treatment; ② Blood urea nitrogen; ③ Serum creatinine; ④ 24-hour urinary protein quantification; ⑤ Urinary NAG enzyme; ⑥ The incidence of adverse reactions.

Yang Y, et al.

5

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

Assessment of bias risk of included studies

Cochrane bias risk assessment tool was used to evaluate the quality of the included 25 studies, and the results were as followed: Nine studies [8-10,20,23-25,30-31] used a random number table method and defined it "low risk". Two studies [12,29] proposed randomization according to the order of admission and were defined as "high risk". Fourteen studies [11,13-15,16-19,21-22,26-29] mentioned only the word "random" and were defined as "unclear risk". All studies which did not describe hidden allocations were defined as "unclear risk". The use of blinding was not mentioned and was defined as "unclear risk"; comparing the baseline data of each study all the data was complete, and this no selective report was defined as "low risk". Due to the lack of information provided by the included literature, it was not possible to determine whether there were other biases, which was defined as "unclear risk". As shown in Figures 2 and 3.

Meta-analysis

Effective rate of drug treatment: Twenty-two studies reported the efficacy of drug treatment [8-21,23-29,32,33]. The heterogeneity test showed that the heterogeneity among the kinds of literature selected in this study was not statistically significant (I²=0%, p=0.87). The fixed effect model was used for meta-analysis, and the results showed that: The effective rate of Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis was better than that of losartan potassium tablets alone [RR=1.26, 95% CI (1.20,1.31), P<0.001], and the difference was statistically significant as shown in Figure 4.

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

Blood urea nitrogen: Blood urea nitrogen was reported in 18 studies [8-13,15-18,20-21,23,25-29,31-32], and there was high heterogeneity after heterogeneity test (I²=91%, P<0.001). A random effect model was used for meta-analysis, and the results showed that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets were better than losartan potassium tablets alone in reducing blood urea nitrogen [MD=-0.78, 95% CI (-1.03, -0.53), P<0.001], and the difference was statistically significant as see Figure 5.

Serum creatinine: Serum creatinine was reported in 21 studies [8-10,12-13,15-20,22,24-32]. After the heterogeneity test, there was high heterogeneity ($I^2=96\%$, P<0.001). The random effect model was used for meta-analysis, and the results showed that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis were better than losartan potassium tablets alone in reducing serum creatinine [MD=-5.06, 95% CI (-8.01,-2.12), P<0.001], and the difference was statistically significant as shown in Figure 6.

24-hour urinary protein quantification: Twenty-four-hour urinary protein quantification was reported in 22 studies [8-10,12-23,25-30,32-33], which showed high heterogeneity (I²=92%, p<0.001) after heterogeneity test. The random effect model was used for meta-analysis, and the results showed that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis were better than losartan potassium tablets alone in reducing 24-hour urinary protein [MD=.0.51, 95% CI (-0.63, -0.40), P<0.001], and the difference was statistically significant as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 2: Proportion of bias in the included literature. Note: (): Low risk of bias; (): Unclear risk of bias; (): High risk of bias.



OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Chen SY 2021	38	40	30	40	4.7%	1.27 [1.04, 1.54]	
Dong J 2019	14	15	11	15	1.7%	1.27 [0.91, 1.78]	
Guo DD 2022	42	43	37	43	5.8%	1.14 [1.00, 1.29]	
Guo MH 2013	18	24	13	24	2.0%	1.38 [0.90, 2.14]	
Li CY 2019	50	50	36	50	5.7%	1.38 [1.16, 1.65]	
Liu XZ 2022	29	30	24	30	3.7%	1.21 [1.00, 1.46]	
Li XD 2017	29	34	21	34	3.3%	1.38 [1.02, 1.86]	
Lv TH 2020	43	44	38	44	5.9%	1.13 [1.00, 1.28]	
Qiu H 2017	57	60	47	60	7.3%	1.21 [1.05, 1.40]	
Qiu J 2018	27	30	21	30	3.3%	1.29 [0.99, 1.67]	
Ru JL 2022	43	45	36	45	5.6%	1.19 [1.02, 1.40]	
Sun XY 2017	44	48	36	48	5.6%	1.22 [1.02, 1.47]	
Su X 2018	45	48	36	48	5.6%	1.25 [1.05, 1.49]	
Su Y 2019	50	60	45	60	7.0%	1.11 [0.92, 1.34]	
Wan Q 2020	46	50	36	50	5.6%	1.28 [1.06, 1.55]	
Yang Y 2021	30	30	17	30	2.7%	1.74 [1.28, 2.38]	
Yan H 2018	27	30	21	30	3.3%	1.29 [0.99, 1.67]	
Zhao D 2017	44	49	34	49	5.3%	1.29 [1.05, 1.59]	
Zheng BL 2014	33	41	25	41	3.9%	1.32 [0.99, 1.76]	
Zhou DM 2023	30	30	23	30	3.7%	1.30 [1.06, 1.59]	
Zhou H 2020	22	23	18	23	2.8%	1.22 [0.97, 1.54]	
Zhu YM 2018	45	49	36	49	5.6%	1.25 [1.04, 1.51]	
Total (95% CI)		873		873	100.0%	1.26 [1.20, 1.31]	•
Total events	806		641				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	4.04, df =	21 (P =	0.87); l ² =	= 0%			
Test for overall effect: 2	z = 10.19 (P < 0.00	0001)				0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
			c .				

Figure 4: Forest map of drug treatment effectiveness.

	Expe	erimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Chen SY 2021	5.85	0.75	40	7.1	0.6	40	6.0%	-1.25 [-1.55, -0.95]	-
Dong J 2019	4.48	0.39	15	5.41	0.91	15	5.2%	-0.93 [-1.43, -0.43]	
Guo DD 2022	2.24	0.61	43	3.87	1.52	43	5.3%	-1.63 [-2.12, -1.14]	
Liu XZ 2022	5.33	0.83	30	6.89	0.95	30	5.4%	-1.56 [-2.01, -1.11]	
Li XD 2017	6.4	0.89	34	5.94	1.01	34	5.4%	0.46 [0.01, 0.91]	
Qiu H 2017	5.89	1.11	60	5.89	1.11	60	5.7%	0.00 [-0.40, 0.40]	+
Qiu J 2018	5.92	0.51	30	6.01	0.54	30	6.1%	-0.09 [-0.36, 0.18]	
Ru JL 2022	5.42	1.07	45	5.23	1.1	45	5.4%	0.19 [-0.26, 0.64]	
Sun XY 2017	6.03	1.1	48	6.02	1.08	48	5.5%	0.01 [-0.43, 0.45]	
Su Y 2019	2.13	1.01	60	3.98	1.56	60	5.3%	-1.85 [-2.32, -1.38]	
Wan Q 2020	4.47	0.12	50	5.24	0.33	50	6.6%	-0.77 [-0.87, -0.67]	*
Yang Y 2021	4.45	0.23	30	5.36	0.45	30	6.4%	-0.91 [-1.09, -0.73]	-
Yan H 2018	5	1.3	30	6	1.2	30	4.6%	-1.00 [-1.63, -0.37]	
Zhao D 2017	6	1	49	7	1.1	49	5.6%	-1.00 [-1.42, -0.58]	
Zheng BL 2014	5.98	1.77	41	5.9	1.12	41	4.6%	0.08 [-0.56, 0.72]	
Zhou DM 2023	5.02	1.02	30	6.22	1.29	30	4.8%	-1.20 [-1.79, -0.61]	
Zhou H 2020	5.21	0.65	23	6.57	0.42	23	6.0%	-1.36 [-1.68, -1.04]	
Zhu YM 2018	5.88	0.72	49	7.05	0.68	49	6.1%	-1.17 [-1.45, -0.89]	-
Total (95% CI)			707			707	100.0%	-0.78 [-1.03, -0.53]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.24; Cł	ni² = 18	5.79, d	f = 17 (l	P < 0.0	00001);	l ² = 91%	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.18	(P<0	.00001)					-Z -I U I Z
				2.0					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 5: Forest map for comparison of urea nitrogen in patients with chronic glomerulonephritis between the two groups.

	expe	eriment	a	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Chen SY 2021	90.35	6.55	40	106.35	8.25	40	5.2%	-16.00 [-19.26, -12.74]	
Dong J 2019	63.01	1.27	15	66.11	2.32	15	5.5%	-3.10 [-4.44, -1.76]	
Guo MH 2013	72.7	23.6	24	71.4	21.3	24	2.7%	1.30 [-11.42, 14.02]	
LI CY 2019	75.4	10.31	34	73.25	11.82	34	4.7%	2.15 [-3.12, 7.42]	
Liu XZ 2022	70.44	7.08	30	86.92	8.73	30	5.1%	-16.48 [-20.50, -12.46]	
Li XD 2017	89.71	6.46	41	105.02	7.07	41	5.3%	-15.31 [-18.24, -12.38]	
Qiu H 2017	92	18.5	60	91.3	16.1	60	4.5%	0.70 [-5.51, 6.91]	
Qiu J 2018	65.23	2.66	30	64.48	2.48	30	5.5%	0.75 [-0.55, 2.05]	t
Ru JL 2022	115.7	17.9	45	87.6	18.9	45	4.1%	28.10 [20.49, 35.71]	
Sun XY 2017	90.43	6.62	49	105.36	8.3	49	5.3%	-14.93 [-17.90, -11.96]	-
Su Y 2019	69.88	12.32	60	87.54	15.62	60	4.8%	-17.66 [-22.69, -12.63]	
Wan Q 2020	80.27	2.36	50	83.43	3.22	50	5.5%	-3.16 [-4.27, -2.05]	*
Nu DQ 2016	109	30	50	109	35	50	2.7%	0.00 [-12.78, 12.78]	
Wu Y 2016	62.14	3.62	23	65.45	6.49	23	5.3%	-3.31 [-6.35, -0.27]	-
Yang Y 2021	83.9	19.1	45	87.6	18.9	45	4.0%	-3.70 [-11.55, 4.15]	
Yan H 2018	63.2	2.8	30	62.8	3.1	30	5.5%	0.40 [-1.09, 1.89]	*
Zhao D 2017	91	8	49	106	9	49	5.2%	-15.00 [-18.37, -11.63]	-
Zheng BL 2014	91.4	16.2	41	92.1	18.6	41	4.1%	-0.70 [-8.25, 6.85]	
Zhou DM 2023	63.57	4.26	30	75.58	4.1	30	5.4%	-12.01 [-14.13, -9.89]	+
Zhou H 2020	91.2	18.1	48	91.9	18.3	48	4.2%	-0.70 [-7.98, 6.58]	
Zhu YM 2018	71	7.47	50	74	8.3	50	5.3%	-3.00 [-6.10, 0.10]	
Total (95% CI)			844			844	100.0%	-5.06 [-8.01, -2.12]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	40.26; C	hi² = 48	39.39, c	lf = 20 (P	< 0.00	001); l ²	= 96%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.38	(P = 0.1)	0007)			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			-20 -10 0 10 20

Figure 6: Comparison of serum creatinine in patients with chronic glomerulonephritis between the two groups.

	Expe	erimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Chen SY 2021	1.48	0.25	40	3.35	1.03	40	3.8%	-1.87 [-2.20, -1.54]	
Dong J 2019	0.33	0.09	15	0.87	0.34	15	4.8%	-0.54 [-0.72, -0.36]	
Guo MH 2013	1.32	1.07	24	1.9	1.22	24	2.0%	-0.58 [-1.23, 0.07]	
Guo YM 2018	1.26	0.35	63	2.03	0.58	63	4.9%	-0.77 [-0.94, -0.60]	-
Li CY 2019	0.65	0.11	50	0.98	0.45	50	5.1%	-0.33 [-0.46, -0.20]	-
Liu XZ 2022	0.54	0.11	30	1.04	0.27	30	5.2%	-0.50 [-0.60, -0.40]	-
Li XD 2017	0.66	0.37	34	0.95	0.51	34	4.6%	-0.29 [-0.50, -0.08]	
Lv TH 2020	0.7	0.2	44	1	0.5	44	4.9%	-0.30 [-0.46, -0.14]	
Qiu H 2017	0.92	0.49	60	0.65	0.36	60	5.0%	0.27 [0.12, 0.42]	-
Qiu J 2018	0.26	0.29	30	1	0.45	30	4.7%	-0.74 [-0.93, -0.55]	
Ru JL 2022	0.61	0.12	45	0.94	0.71	45	4.6%	-0.33 [-0.54, -0.12]	
Sun XY 2017	0.71	0.33	48	1.03	0.47	48	4.9%	-0.32 [-0.48, -0.16]	-
Su Y 2019	1.62	1.23	60	3.02	1.47	60	2.8%	-1.40 [-1.88, -0.92]	
Wan Q 2020	0.61	0.12	50	0.94	0.17	50	5.4%	-0.33 [-0.39, -0.27]	
Wu DQ 2016	0.49	0.43	39	0.87	0.54	39	4.6%	-0.38 [-0.60, -0.16]	-
Yang Y 2021	0.62	0.11	30	0.98	0.3	30	5.2%	-0.36 [-0.47, -0.25]	-
Yan H 2018	0.31	0.12	30	0.82	0.64	30	4.5%	-0.51 [-0.74, -0.28]	
Zhao D 2017	1.5	1	49	2.2	1	49	3.3%	-0.70 [-1.10, -0.30]	
Zheng BL 2014	0.66	0.37	41	0.93	0.5	41	4.7%	-0.27 [-0.46, -0.08]	
Zhou DM 2023	0.62	0.17	30	1.21	0.24	30	5.2%	-0.59 [-0.70, -0.48]	-
Zhou H 2020	0.24	0.04	23	0.68	0.25	23	5.2%	-0.44 [-0.54, -0.34]	
Zhu YM 2018	1.49	0.25	49	2.37	0.68	49	4.7%	-0.88 [-1.08, -0.68]	
Total (95% Cl)			884			884	100.0%	-0.51 [-0.63, -0.40]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; Ch	i² = 25	2.59, d	f = 21 (P < 0.0	00001);	l ² = 92%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.80	(P < 0)	00001)					-2 -1 0 1 2

Figure 7: Forest map of quantitative comparison of 24 h urinary protein in patients with chronic glomerulonephritis between the two groups.

Urinary NAG enzyme: Urinary NAG enzymes were reported in 6 studies [9,12,20,26,28,31], which showed high heterogeneity after heterogeneity test (I²=94%, p<0.001). The results showed that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis were better than losartan potassium tablets alone in reducing urinary NAG [MD=-6.77, 95% CI (-12.03, -1.51), p<0.001]. As shown in Figure 8.

Incidence of adverse reactions: Seven studies reported the occurrence of adverse reactions [8,10-12,16,26,31]. After the heterogeneity test, it suggested that the heterogeneity among the kinds of literature selected in this study was not statistically significant ($I^2=0\%$, p=0.49). The fixed effect model was used for

meta-analysis. The results showed that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis had a lower incidence of adverse reactions than losartan potassium tablets alone, [RR=0.59, 95% CI (0.36, 0.95), p<0.001], and the difference was statistically significant. As shown in Figure 9.

Publication bias test: The funnel plot was drawn to investigate whether there was a publication bias in this study, and the effective rate of drug treatment was used to detect the publication bias. The results showed that the funnel plot was symmetrical, indicating that the possibility of publication bias was low, and that the conclusion of this study was reliable as shown in Figure 10.

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online



Figure 8: Forest map of urinary NAG enzyme comparison between two groups of chronic glomerulonephritis patients.



Figure 9: Forest chart of incidence of adverse drug reactions.



DISCUSSION

that Traditional Chinese medicine believes chronic glomerulonephritis belongs to the categories of "edema" and "deficiency". The pathogenesis of chronic glomerulonephritis is based on a deficiency of kidney qi and a deficiency of the spleen and kidney. Spleen and kidney deficiency is the pathological basis of chronic glomerulonephritis. Therefore, the main treatment principles should be invigorating the spleen and qi, warming the kidney and tonifying Yang, promoting blood circulation, and removing blood stasis [6]. Shenyankangfu tablets are composed of 13 Chinese herbs, such as raw Rehmannia, yam, Salvia miltiorrhiza, Rhizoma alismatis, motherwort, and white maogen. The combination of all kinds of medicines can mainly tonify deficiency and strengthen the body without dryness, and as well as clear li and dispel pathogens without damaging the body. It has the effect of invigorating qi and nourishing Yin, invigorating the spleen and kidney, and clearing residual toxins. Modern pharmacological experiments have proved that Shenyankangfu tablets have a significant effect on reducing proteinuria and improving renal function [7].

Effectiveness of clinical treatment

The results of the meta-analysis showed that Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets were superior

to losartan potassium tablets in terms of effective rate, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, 24-hour urinary protein quantification, urinary NAG enzyme, and adverse reactions, and the difference was statistically significant. According to the results of the metaanalysis, Shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets have certain advantages over losartan potassium tablets alone in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis.

Safety of clinical treatment

Seven studies reported the occurrence of adverse reactions [8,10-12,16,26,31], with 24 cases in the observation group and 41 cases in the control group. Adverse reactions included nausea, dizziness, tinnitus, vomiting, mild hyperkalemia, abdominal discomfort, cough, rash, fatigue, etc. However, more than 50% of the included studies did not report the adverse reactions or related treatment measures. More attention should be paid to drug safety, and more attention should be paid to the observation and reporting of safety indicators. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3:	Occurrence	of adverse	drug reactions.
----------	------------	------------	-----------------

Q. 1	Adverse reaction/case								
Study	Study group	Control group							
Zhou [8]	1 case of dizziness	There was 1 case of dizziness and 1 case of fatigue							
Ru, et al. [10]	There were 2 cases of dizziness, 1 case of nausea and 1 case of vomiting	There were 2 cases of dizziness, 2 cases of vomiting, and 1 case of rash							
Guo [11]	Headache occurred in 2 cases and abdominal discomfort in 1 case	There were 1 case of fatigue, 2 cases of headache, and 1 case of abdominal discomfort							
Chen, et al. [12]	There was 1 case of dizziness and 1 case of tinnitus	Dizziness occurred in 6 cases and tinnitus in 5 cases							
Su [23]	Mild hyperkalemia occurred in 2 cases and dizziness in 2 cases	Mild hyperkalemia occurred in 3 cases and dizziness in 2 cases							
Qiu [26]	There were 3 cases of dizziness and 2 cases of tinnitus	There were 5 cases of dizziness and 5 cases of tinnitus							
Zheng, et al. [31]	There were 2 cases of cough, 2 cases of mild hyperkalemia, and 1 case of dizziness	Cough and mild hyperkalemia were observed in 2 patients							

Limitations of the study

The deficiencies of this study was as follows:

- None of the RCTs included mentioned blinding and allocation concealment, which resulted in low quality of inclusion and may affect the reliability of the results.
- The number of studies on some outcome indicators was small, and the literature on some indicators such as urinary NAG enzyme was few, and the research results may have certain bias.

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

- There were differences in the treatment regimens including the dosage of drugs and the course of treatment.
- The sample size of the included studies was small, and there was a lack of multi-center and large-sample studies.
- Most studies did not report adverse reactions, and only 7 studies reported the occurrence of adverse reactions. In conclusion, the results of this study remain cautious, and the results need to be supported by more large-sample, long-term follow-up, and double-blind clinical trials.

CONCLUSION

This study conducted a meta-analysis of the combination of Shenyankangfu tablets and losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis. The combination of Shenyankangfu tablets and losartan potassium tablets have a certain clinical efficacy in treating chronic glomerulonephritis, and the drug safety is good. It provides a new treatment plan for the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis and can guide clinical treatment.

FUNDING STATEMENT

This study was funded by the National Key Basic Research and Development Program of China (973 Plan) (Grant number 2011CB505406), and the National key research and development program of China [Grant number 2017YFC1703305].

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data sets were analyzed during the present study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Yang Y, and Jin J conceived and designed this study. Yang Y, and Jin J completed literature retrieval and screening together. Yang Y, and Zhao T analyzed the data and wrote the first draft. Gao Y, Zhu D, Zhang H, Xue Y completed the revision and improvement of the manuscript together. Wang H, Zhao T provided methodological guidance for this study. All authors read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

For the acquisition of the data and materials related to this article, please contact the corresponding author Zhao Tieniu (zhaotieniu@163.com).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No competing financial interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Zedan MM, Mansour AK, Bakr AA, Sobh MA, Khodadadi H, Salles EL, et al. Effect of everolimus versus bone marrow-derived stem cells on glomerular injury in a rat model of glomerulonephritis: A preventive, predictive and personalized implication. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;23(1):344.
- Floege J, Barbour SJ, Cattran DC, Hogan JJ, Nachman PH, Tang SC, et al. Management and treatment of glomerular diseases (part 1): Conclusions from a kidney disease: Improving global outcomes (kdigo) controversies conference. Kidney Int. 2019;95(2):268-280.
- L Gu, Y Hu, X Peng. Clinical efficacy of shenyan kangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis and its effect on IL-1β, IL-1 and VEGF levels. Chin Arc Tradi Chin Med. 2021;39(5):213-216.

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

Yang Y, et al.

- 4. X Shi, GY Li, YH Pang. Clinical efficacy analysis of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis. Tib Med. 2021;42(1): 22-24.
- 5. EJ Zhao. My research on nephritis and the development of nephritis recovery tablets. J Tradit Chin Med. 1996;12(1):1-2.
- Y Jing, CG Si, L Yao. Study on the effect of shenyankangfu tablets and benazepril in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis. Med Diet Health. 2019;12(15):64-66.
- T Wang, F Zhang, ZX HE. Effects of nephritis recovery tablets on renal function, inflammatory factors and blood rheology in patients with early diabetic nephropathy. J North Sich Med Col. 2019;34(4): 381-383.
- 8. DM Zou. Effect of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets on patients with chronic glomerulonephritis. Pract Clin J Int Tradi Chin West Med. 2023;23(9):47-49.
- XZ Liu, P Wang, H Zhang. Clinical study of losartan potassium tablets and nephritic rehabilitation tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis proteinuria. Shang Med Pharm J. 2022;43(12):36-38.
- JL Ru, WN Dong. Clinical effect analysis of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic nephritis. Chin Pract Med. 2022;17(15):138-140.
- 11. DD Guo. Effect and safety analysis of losartan potassium tablets combined with shenyankangfu tablets in the treatment of proteinuria in chronic glomerulonephritis. Chin J M Drug Appli. 2022;16(19):135-138.
- SY Chen, LY Yang, Q Huang. Effect and safety evaluation of losartan potassium tablets and shenyankangfu tablets in the treatment of proteinuria in chronic glomerulonephritis. Heil Med J. 2021;34(03):599-601.
- 13. Y Yang. Clinical efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets for the treatment of chronic nephritis. Med Health. 2021;12(1):0048-004850.
- TH Lyu. Study on the effective rate of nephritic rehabilitation tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic nephritis. Ref Rehab Med. 2020;29(4):107-108.
- Q Wan, LW Wang. Clinical effect and safety evaluation of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic nephritis. J North Pharm. 2020;17(9):153-154.
- H Zhou, YD Hong, S Yang. Analysis of therapeutic effect and effect on renal function of nephritic rehabilitation tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in proteinuria in chronic glomerulonephritis. J North Pharm. 2020;17(10):92-93.
- CY Li. Clinical observation of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic nephritis. J Chin Med. 2019;34(18):2863-2865.
- 18. Y Su, K Song. Efficacy of nephritis recovery tablets combined with losartan in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis and its effect on serum LKN-1, IL-1 β and IL-1 levels. J Med Theor Pract. 2019;32(7):1005-1007.

- YM Zhu. Therapeutic effect of losartan potassium tablets combined with nephritic rehabilitation tablets on proteinuria in chronic glomerulonephritis. J Contem Clin Med. 2019;32(04):356-360.
- 20. YM Guo. Efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis and its effect on serum cytokine levels and immune function. Asia Trad Med. 2018;14(9):182-183.
- J Qiu. Clinical study of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis qi-yin deficiency. Trad Chin Med. 20182019;32(04):356-360.
- 22. X Su. Efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic nephritis. Chin Comm Doctors. 2018;34(25):100-101.
- 23. H Yan. Efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of proteinuria in chronic glomerulonephritis. Chin Rem Clin. 2018;18(3):456-457.
- 24. XD Li. Efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in chronic nephritis. J Aeros Med. 2017;28(7):856-858.
- 25. XY Sun. Effect of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium tablets in the treatment of chronic nephritis. Cont Med Symp. 2017;15(10):48-50.
- 26. D Zhao. Efficacy of losartan potassium tablets combined with shenyankangfu tablets in the treatment of proteinuria in chronic glomerulonephritis. Chin J Pharm Econ. 2017;12(8):87-89.
- DQ Wu. Efficacy analysis of losartan potassium combined with shenyankangfu tablets in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis. Chin Con Med Edu. 2016;8(36):134-135.
- 28. Y Wu, Y He. Efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of chronic glomerulonephritis and its effect on serum leukoattractin 1, tumor necrosis factor α and interleukin-33. Med Rec. 2016; 22(21):4296-4305.
- 29. MH Guo, R Gou, LW Wang. Efficacy of shenyankangfu tablets combined with losartan potassium in the treatment of primary membranous nephropathy. J Chin Pract Diag Ther. 2013; 27(9):903-904.
- YM Wang, YY Feng, JL Cheng. Clinical characteristics of chronic kidney disease and treatment ideas of traditional Chinese medicine. Clin J Trad Chin Med. 2021; 33(4): 601-604.
- F Xie, Y Liu, XL Ling. Clinical research progress of traditional Chinese medicine in the treatment of chronic kidney disease. Chin Med. 2019;51(11):23-26.
- ZJ Zhu, LQ He. Overview of the methods of taking into account the treatment of chronic kidney disease with traditional Chinese medicine specimens. Chin J Trad Chin Med Pharm. 2015;30(11):4029-4031.
- JY Zhu, MX Wang, BY Qin. Effect of shenyankangfu tablets combined with ferulic acid piperazine tablets on microcirculation disorders in diabetic nephropathy. Chin J Exper Trad Med Form. 2014;20(15):212-216.